

God has asked me to write this article so the information can get out to others. If you have read *A Book On Fear* or some of my other articles, you will be aware that this article is not in the same vein as what I normally write, although there is a chapter on technology in the book along the same lines. “Being in the world but not of it” doesn’t mean that we bury our heads in the sand regarding what is happening in the world. We have to know what is happening, even if it scares us, to place everything in a larger spiritual framework of why we are each here (which will help alleviate our fear). Where guided, we need to take action and speak to others. And we need to especially energize the world we want to live in, which I imagine for most of you will be the opposite of what is described in the article. Please share this article in whole or in part but with full attribution to Lawrence Doochin.

Conspiracy Theories

“It would not be impossible to prove with sufficient repetition and a psychological understanding of the people concerned that a square is in fact a circle. They are mere words, and words can be molded until they clothe ideas and disguise.”

Joseph Goebbels, Minister of Propaganda Nazi Germany

Few people know that the term “conspiracy theory” was invented by the U.S. Central Intelligence Agency in 1967 as a means of suppressing disbelief of official government stories. The term was openly disseminated to the media as a means of undercutting critics of the Warren Commission’s findings regarding the assassination of President Kennedy. It is ironic that this term was invented by the very organization whose core mission is subterfuge, overthrow of governments, and the pushing of agendas that likely don’t serve humanity.

The problem with the term “conspiracy theory” is the same issue people have with their opinions and judgments— they don’t leave any area for gray. They are hardened in their beliefs, and they refuse to see both sides of an issue. As I have written in my book, *A Book On Fear: Feeling Safe In A Challenging World*, this is what fear does to you. So people will dismiss valuable information others are trying to tell them because of their fear, lumping it all as “conspiracy theories.”

I am certain that many things labeled as “conspiracy theories” that people talk about and believe are not true, and I am equally certain that many are true. For instance, let’s explore the theory about geoengineering, commonly referred to as “chemtrails.” These are the frequently seen airplane exhaust trails which spread across the sky.

I can tell you that there are patents for seeding aluminum particulates in the atmosphere to reflect back light and reduce global warming. I can tell you that there are numerous whistleblowers who have alerted us. I can tell you that in the last few years Harvard scientists, funded by Bill Gates, have proposed this solution (maybe we should ask why they would be proposing something that is already being done to a large extent). But the main reason I can tell you this is not a “conspiracy theory” is that *I can see it with my own eyes*. Literally over one thousand times in the last ten years. The same logic applies to technology and the assertion that cell phones and other wireless technology have *zero* effect on us. Science has shown us that we are electromagnetic beings operating at a frequency range under 30 Hz. To claim that wireless technology, which operates in the billions of hertz ranges, has zero effect on our health, is delusion or denial. Or claiming that breathing in your own exhale through extended mask wearing has zero consequences. *Everything* has consequences. Walking out your front door has consequences. To get out of fear-based thinking we have to use our heart, our intuition, and *common sense and logic*. And we have to want to know truth. We can’t uphold some personal belief system at the expense of truth.

But those in denial and fear, or those trying to prevent information from getting out because they benefit from what is being done, will use any argument to convince you that you are the one who is crazy. With chemtrails they will tell you that these are normal jet contrails. If you have lived on this earth for any amount of time, which you have if you are reading this article, and you ever look up at the sky, which I certainly hope you do, you know what a jet contrail looks like and also what beautiful cumulus clouds created by nature look like. A jet contrail is short and

dissipates immediately. Chemtrails are long lines that stay in the sky and spread out. Beautiful blue and cloudless skies become covered in thick overhanging clouds within a few hours.

We can disagree on who is doing this. We can disagree on what is being sprayed. And we can disagree on why they might be spraying it – maybe it is still for the reflection of sunlight. But when we can all look up at the sky and see this frequently happening, it becomes foolish to claim that nothing is being sprayed. And certainly, we are not being told about it, at least not by the mainstream media.

In early March 2021 the New York Times ran an article on some people having minor skin reactions to the Covid vaccine. This was at a time when VAERS showed over 1,500 deaths and around 15,000 adverse reactions, including many hospitalizations, from the vaccine. VAERS stands for the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System and is a self reporting system that has been in place for many years on the CDC (U.S. Centers For Disease Control) website. The EU equivalent of VAERS showed over double the above figures at this time. By August 2021, the reported deaths were over 10,000 and there were hundreds of thousands of adverse event reports, and around this time there was also a federal lawsuit filed in Alabama with A CDC whistleblower. She indicated that the death count was actually five times what was being reported. A Harvard funded study conducted from 2007 to 2010 tracked 715,000 patients and found that fewer than 1% of vaccine adverse events were reported. VAERS is not an easy form to fill out as it takes 45 minutes per report and cannot be saved. So the true numbers of deaths and adverse reports are unknown.

Around the same time of this NYT article, the CDC was stating that the vaccines were safe, even though the J&J vaccine was temporarily pulled because of blood clotting issues. Not being able to sidestep the reports of these adverse events where local authorities in several counties had to rush individuals to the hospital and stopped vaccinations, the CDC had to acknowledge the occurrence but allowed J&J to resume distribution because the “benefits outweighed the risks.” Also, during this time testing of the vaccines began on pregnant women, which has never occurred with any vaccine (for obvious reasons), and the vaccine manufacturers destroyed long term safety studies by unblinding their trials and giving the control groups the active vaccine, claiming it was “unethical” to withhold an effective vaccine. Of course, this has also never been done before (for obvious reasons). Informed consent in healthcare is required by law and means that the patient must be apprised of both the benefits and the *risks*.

The general party line from the CDC regarding VAERS has been that they have found no causality with the deaths and adverse events reported as people are dying all of the time from various conditions and these events *just happened* to be near the timing for someone getting vaccinated. From a broad statistics and probability theory standpoint, the CDC statement holds some truth. However, when you begin to define and narrow your probabilities by factoring in the small numbers of people in their twenties, thirties, forties, who have heart attacks, strokes, Bell’s Palsy, complete organ failure, or rare blood conditions where your platelet count drops to zero, and then you add in the timing factor of some of these events happening literally within ten minutes of the vaccine, plus the anecdotal evidence of family members indicating that their loved ones were perfectly healthy before taking the shot, the probabilities that these deaths and adverse events are *not* tied to the vaccine become infinitesimally small. The CDC is under the Federal Department of Health and Human Services but it is actually a *private corporation* that takes funding from outside sources and the CDC Foundation owns numerous pharmaceutical patents which spin off large profits each year. The NIH (National Institute of Health) owns part

of the key patent for Moderna's Covid vaccine. Google Ventures, the investment arm of Google, is heavily invested in and profits from the AstraZeneca Covid vaccine developed at Oxford. Google owns YouTube which has heavily censored any type of discussion regarding vaccine safety and adverse events. These are conflicts of interest.

It is not as if the New York Times and other mainstream media outlets don't know about VAERS. They just choose not to report on it. Can we say that not exposing the truth is a lie? Joseph Goebbels told us "A lie told once remains a lie but a lie told a thousand times becomes the truth" and to "think of the press as a great keyboard on which the government can play." Mark Twain told us that "If you don't read the newspaper, you're uniformed. If you read the newspaper, you're mis-informed."

The same all or nothing approach that characterizes those who dismiss conspiracy theories is applied to those who question anything about vaccines. It was interesting and disheartening for me to see the debate as the vaccine rolled out. Some people who chose to take the vaccine were highly respectful of other's rights not to take it. But many who took it spewed hatred at those who they called crackpot anti-vaxxers. This is fear talking loudly, especially a fear that there is something amiss.

As divine beings, we exist in a reality that is much more expansive than this human based ego consciousness, and many can intuitively feel if something is not right or they are being misled. If we recognize and work with this connection to spirit, it allows us to see from a higher perspective and to sort through our reactions and underlying fears. If we don't, fear becomes our ruler and we project on to and blame others who are actually *not allowing* fear to be their ruler.

Aside from a small minority of people who object for religious reasons, the vast majority of people deemed as anti vaccine are not really against vaccines. They are *pro* immune system. Those labeled as anti vaccine are not anti science as those in fear or those with ulterior motives want to label them. *They are just calling out vaccines that are harmful and where potential short term and long-term side effects are not clearly stated.* They are calling out vaccine manufacturers being protected from lawsuits when under an EUA (Emergency Use Authorization). In what other industry does this occur?

Similar to a mother whose child has a major adverse event after a round of regular childhood vaccines, these family members know their loved ones well and you can't pull the wool over their eyes by saying that the adverse reaction was coincidental. For every vaccine injured person, likely 100 others have had direct knowledge of the occurrence, thus this first-hand knowledge is much more credible than authorities telling them it is completely safe. Not acknowledging a truth that is so patently obvious actually destroys credibility and potential benefits that vaccines do have.

My wife and I vaccinated all of our children when they were young, but the number of vaccines a child receives now is far more than what our children received and many times more than what I received. I feel great empathy for those who are faced with this decision now.

The warning flags for the Covid vaccine were numerous. It was rushed and approved under *emergency authorization*. It takes long term studies to have regular approval. Many have stated that coronavirus vaccines have been studied for a long time, which is true as there were attempts to develop mRNA vaccines for the SARS outbreak, but the vaccines never went anywhere

because the animals all died when they were exposed to the virus for which the vaccine was meant to protect them. *Animal trials were skipped on these vaccines.*

We have been warned by many highly reputable experts in the fields of virology, immunology, and even long-term vaccine proponents and scientists who have bravely spoken out, written open letters to the World Health Organization, etc. This includes the inventor of the core mRNA technology, a Nobel prize winning French virologist, the former head of Pfizer research, and a vaccine expert formerly employed by GAVI (Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation) and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (as well as thousands of other highly credible physicians and scientists). These experts are heavily pro vaccine, but they are stating that this is the wrong use at the wrong time and that it is very dangerous to try and inoculate everyone in the world. Unlike smallpox or polio, coronaviruses reside in animal reservoirs, which means that you cannot destroy them, and attempting to do so only makes them adapt with much stronger variants, which is called vaccine leakage. This is one reason why there has never been a vaccine for the common cold.

Science provides hard data. How that data is interpreted is very much open to a scientist's training, their potential conflicts of interest such as where their grant money comes from and how much they are willing to risk their reputation to go against the grain (not many are), and especially what their personal conditioning is, how they view life, the existence of a Creator, etc. Certainly, many scientists are highly intelligent and linear thinkers, which can be a good thing in some respects, but it can also create a situation where they are outside their heart and intuition and more susceptible to being in fear. Thus, their *interpretation* of data can be one where they take a stance that it is most likely to alleviate their fear. Albert Einstein told us that "Science can only flourish in an atmosphere of free speech."

And in addition to the interpretation of the data, in many instances the data is changing. In the summer of 2021, when a study came out of Oxford that those who were fully vaccinated carried a viral load in their nostrils that was more than *250 times* those who were unvaccinated, and when government data/ministry of health reports came out of Israel and the U.K. and a number of other highly vaccinated countries showing a surge of Covid cases and hospitalizations of the vaccinated (including some who had already had a booster), *the data changed*. In fact, as we approach the end of 2021, the data shows that the countries with the least percentage of vaccinated individuals have the fewest number of Covid cases and the countries with the highest percentage of vaccinated are dealing with new waves. We don't need to be a scientist to understand this, but at a minimum any unbiased scientist should state that the vaccine is not doing what the pharmaceutical companies said it would do and that there are obviously unknown things happening. And that taking the booster might not be the best idea until it was all sorted out.

Anyone who has not fallen into paranoia over dying from the virus, and anyone who has been open to hearing and considering potential viewpoints that fall outside of what mainstream media is saying, including alternative views from scientists and health professionals who are providing both hard science and logic, is able to clearly see multiple reasons to at least take a longer term wait and see approach. We can't give our power away due to fear. Many just want the fear to go away and they will latch on to the first thing they think will do that for them, thus dismissing everything that runs counter to this as a conspiracy theory and taking a completely illogical position. In early September 2021, I pointed out to a Facebook friend the current VAERS statistics, and she countered that anyone could file a report (actually 80% of the reports

have been filed by physicians) and that correlation did not mean causality, a concept she said was basic to science. This was at a time when VAERS showed *deaths over 13,000 and adverse events over 600,000* (and remember the lawsuit that says the deaths were five times that). Morpheus says to Neo in the movie the Matrix, “I’m trying to free your mind, Neo. But I can only show you the door. You’re the one that has to walk through it.” Again, our fear at examining our own beliefs, biases, and conditioning is directly related to our willingness to consider information which may counter those beliefs.

It was during early 2021 that Big Tech deplatformed (took down the account) for organizations such as the Children’s Health Defense (CHD) founded by Robert Kennedy Jr. and the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) which was founded by Barbara Loe Fisher almost four decades ago. These are not fringe or radical individuals or organizations. CHD speaks out about everything from chronic disease in our children to environmental toxicity to food additives to vaccine safety and accountability. Fisher served as an appointed member of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services on the National Vaccine Advisory Committee as part of the Vaccine Safety Writing Group. She worked with Congress to establish the 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act. The NVIC does not make recommendations about vaccines as its intent is to “defend the ethical principle of informed consent to medical risk taking, including vaccine risk taking.”

These individuals are not anti vaccination. They were solely against *this vaccine* for innumerable reasons we discuss in this article. The Covid vaccine turmoil demonstrates tribalism and how certain people see only black and white, which furthers divisiveness. “You are either for us or against us. You either believe in vaccines and science or you are a pariah and a health risk.” This taking sides and seeing the world in black and white spills in to many other areas and is so dangerous. For instance, many who voted for Biden as a vote against Trump are not willing to acknowledge that certain actions Biden has taken are obviously of great concern. For anyone looking with unbiased eyes, it is obvious there are great concerns with both Trump and Biden.

The same can be said literally about almost all national and top state politicians. All have been compromised to some degree. Lobbying and no term limits have made everything for sale to the highest bidder, especially integrity. But unfortunately, we aren’t making the changes needed to the system as many of us just want to give our power away and put others on pedestals, acting like everything is okay. It seems very odd to me that we are not all seeking actual truth and justice for all people, but many of us will not give up our attachments and unhealthy belief systems. We want to live in a prison cell of our own making, robbing ourselves of true joy. Labels are misleading, but if we need to use one, can we rise above tribalism to a unity perspective and call ourselves Truthers instead of Democrats or Republicans, liberals or conservatives, vaxxers or anti-vaxxers?

An organization like the NVIC just provides the information so that we can each make an informed decision for our own health. Isn’t this how it is supposed to be in a free and open society? Does anyone really think these courageous individuals and others who are speaking out are doing this for any other reason other than trying to protect us? George Orwell prophetically told us “In times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act.”

Let’s momentarily put aside the question of Covid 19’s origin and its initial path of transmission. Obviously the vaccine was theoretically intended to provide some type of protection from the Covid virus, but as mentioned above, the general public and even many health professionals have a great misunderstanding about viruses. Germ theory tells us that nature is our enemy and

we should kill everything that threaten us. The number of viruses in the air is ten million times the number of stars in the Universe. Similar numbers exist in the soil and bodies of water. Viruses were here long before humans and even cellular life, and we have evolved with viruses as a large part of the human genome has viral insertion. It has been shown that completely healthy people carry large numbers of viruses, including HIV. Contrary to what health authorities have tried to tell the public regarding Covid, asymptomatic individuals cannot infect others - this has always been accepted science (which many highly reputable scientists have been telling us.)

Biodiversity and adaptation are the foundations of life on earth, but we have completely divorced - physically, emotionally, and spiritually - from nature which is here to help us and protect us, not something to be conquered. When we don't pay heed to the warning signs of how far we have strayed from this by how we have created a disaster in the environment and in each of our human microbiomes (through what we eat, stress etc.), we will increasingly be subjected to pandemics and viruses that are only nature's way of attempting to rebalance the natural order.

The Spanish Flu pandemic, SARS, and MERS all ran their course in two years. The genetic record shows that we have lived with coronaviruses for at least 780 years, but we have likely coexisted with them (without a pandemic until SARS) for hundreds of thousands of years. So again, to try and kill just one virus that can hide in animals is insane. Of course there will be variants - that is what viruses do - they mutate as part of a natural evolutionary process when left to their own accord. But they mutate so that they can spread more easily and they are not as deadly as they mutate. *The virus needs a body in which to survive.* It's not in its evolutionary interest to kill the body off. When will we start to address, collectively as the human race, and individually with our own microbiomes, the sources of why this virus became lethal to start with?

Brainwashing is defined as "Intensive, forcible indoctrination, usually political or religious, aimed at destroying a person's basic convictions and attitudes and replacing them with an alternative set of fixed beliefs." Gaslighting is defined as "an insidious form of manipulation and psychological control. Victims of gaslighting are deliberately and systematically fed false information that leads them to question what they know to be true, often about themselves. They may end up doubting their memory, their perception, and even their sanity." Hannah Arendt, author of "The Origins of Totalitarianism" tells us, "Before mass leaders seize the power to fit reality to their lies, their propaganda is marked by its extreme contempt for facts as such, for in their opinion, fact depends entirely on the power of man who can fabricate it." We were warned by Benjamin Franklin that "It is the first responsibility of every citizen to question authority."

Stockholm Syndrome is an emotional response where abuse and hostage victims have positive feelings toward their abuser or captor. We have seen this first hand over the course of the Covid crisis as information has been revealed. Many defended political and health authorities, sometimes going as far as to put them on a pedestal as if they were a god, in the face of overwhelming evidence that they had lied to the public and had other agendas (for instance the NIH funding gain of function research at the Wuhan lab as revealed in the Dr. Fauci emails released through the FOIA).

Those most susceptible to being brainwashed are individuals in fear, and often these individuals are open hearted, kind, and want to do good in the world. They believe in the inherent goodness of people, which they should, but they give their power away and project this desire on to those whom they have elected. They want to believe what their leaders are telling them about

programs to bring equality and justice, and they just want someone to fix all of the problems in the world. But what they are missing is that these leaders are telling them exactly what they want to hear, and most of these leaders are coming from dysfunction, often severe. Few people crave positions of power to help others but rather to control and accumulate power and wealth.

In his farewell address, President Dwight D. Eisenhower warned us that “We must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex.” A true leader, what was he trying to tell us over 60 years ago and can we assume that this has become true to a much greater extent today?

Why do we think that any corporation, aside from a few newcomers who are led by truly awakened and altruistic CEOs, would ever act outside of its own best interest? Regardless of industry, the vast majority of corporations see their primary interest as making money. Their interest is to grow and protect themselves, to gain more power and influence. This is how CEOs get paid, how they reinforce self esteem for themselves, and most importantly, how they keep their job, for they are beholden to a board of directors who are beholden to shareholders.

If a corporation can throw in some good public relations and make people think they are doing something good for the world, of course they do this because people will believe this and buy their products or services - making them more money! P.T. Barnum said “There is a sucker born every minute.”

Marketing is often deceptive, making you think their product or service can do something for you - or they are the good guys there to help you. Brazilian philosopher Paulo Freire stated this well. “One of the methods of manipulation is to inoculate individuals with the bourgeois appetite for personal success.” This has always been the way products and services have been marketed, but when do we move to a place where people can actually see what a product or service will do for them without being misled?

When you are evaluating a product or service, take a look at how a company makes its money and whether that aligns with your health, safety, knowledge, and power. Look at the product cycle. For instance, most of our food products begin at mega sized corporate owned farms using non regenerative land practices and huge amounts of pesticides supplied by a large agrochemical industry. Then most products from the food manufacturers are highly processed and have at best, little nutritional value, and at worst, are ones that compromise our long-term health (most of these large food corporations have added organic lines but this is the trend so again what is the underlying motivation - profit or doing the right thing or maybe both).

A minority of people understand the impact that they have on the environment and humanity, even as just one consumer. People just buy “things” and then end up throwing them away, choosing to stay in denial about the whole product cycle. If everyone were forced to visit logging, mining, and fracking operations, paper mills, feedlots, slaughterhouses, and dumps, they would do things quite differently because they would see how everything is interconnected, how we have to help each other and the world, especially the earth, by our own actions. This doesn't mean that we will go live in a cave, but it does mean that we will likely make changes in our lifestyles – and these changes will be much more in integrity with our recognition that we are all in this together.

The cycle of insanity is further evidenced by pharmaceutical companies who are more than happy to provide products, with side effects, that try to suppress the chronic health issues that come from the food we eat and the toxic environmental onslaught we are subjected to instead of

going back to the source of what created the dis-ease to start with. Certainly the pharmaceutical companies will make a lot less money if people are healthy. Our well-intentioned health professionals don't normally propose non pharmacological solutions to boost our immune systems (diet, supplements, sunshine, exercise) because these health professionals are highly incentivized by the pharmaceutical industry to write prescriptions and because of their training (conditioning) - only one third of the medical schools teach even one course on nutrition. They are part of a system where non-patentable and inexpensive solutions to boost our immune systems, such as vitamins C and D - both of which were awarded Nobel prizes - just don't get the coverage they should. Actually, they have been dismissed by our top governmental health authorities as it relates to Covid. Studies show that the vast majority of those dying from Covid have had insufficient levels of vitamin D, and the virus has affected blacks, who are at a greater risk of vitamin D deficiency due to higher levels of melanin, at a disproportionate rate.

The same was true for hydroxychloroquine (for malaria) and ivermectin (Nobel prize award for the inventor), both of which are FDA approved for humans and have been around for decades. They are two of the most widely prescribed (billions of prescriptions), inexpensive, and safest drugs on the market. The data showed in early 2020 that hydroxychloroquine was effective at stopping Covid as it had been with the SARS virus in 2003. By summer of 2021, there were over 60 *peer reviewed* studies on ivermectin showing excellent results related to Covid, as a preventative, for early-stage intervention once someone had contracted the virus, and even for late stage Covid. Both hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin are on the World Health Organization's list of essential medicines and they are hailed as life savings drugs - but *somehow* they were too risky to be used for Covid as the WHO and government health authorities claimed?

In the U.S, Federal regulations state that an experimental vaccine *cannot be approved* if there already exists an effective treatment for that health condition.

We have to ask why these drugs have been completely dismissed by the health authorities, with some states threatening the removal of medical licenses against physicians who prescribed them, when hundreds of physicians were showing excellent early intervention for Covid using them. I have seen this first hand in the area I live. The esteemed university hospital, which is a research hospital and receives a large amount of NIH funding, has been telling people with Covid to come in only when their lips turn blue and has been using no treatments not approved by the CDC. Of course, many of these patients have had to go on a ventilator, which is a very profitable reimbursement by insurance for the hospital, and subsequently died - in fact, across the country being put on a ventilator has been almost a certain death sentence. Another local hospital, which is part of a large corporate entity and which does no federally funded research, has been using hydroxychloroquine, zinc, vitamins D and C, with great success. Generic drugs like ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine at \$5 per prescription and no longer covered under patents don't make any money for the pharmaceutical companies nor the hospitals who are intertwined in this horrendous circle. Merck, the original patent holder for ivermectin, has not only said it wasn't effective for Covid but has actually tried to smear a drug they had brought to market (and again has been prescribed over four billion times).

Are we not all on the same page with trying to save lives? Apparently not. Some courageous physicians stepped up and took their Hippocratic oath very seriously. They risked their careers and reputations because a higher part of them was calling them *to do the right thing*. Unfortunately, many did not, and I can guarantee you that it was not for a lack of being exposed to other therapies that could save lives.

Peter Doshi, who is the associate editor of the prestigious British Medical Journal (similar to the New England Journal of Medicine), thoroughly analyzed the initial trial data presented by Pfizer for emergency use approval of their Covid vaccine. He found it fundamentally flawed and concluded that efficacy of the vaccine was actually between 19% to 29%, well below the 95% claimed by Pfizer and well below the 50% required for any vaccine to be approved. Further, even if the 95% were true, this is a measure of Relative Risk Reduction, but what is more accurate is Absolute Risk Reduction. If your doctor told you had cancer and you could take an experimental drug, which has potential severe side effects but which would reduce your relative risk of dying by 50%, you might consider it. But if the doctor then told you that your absolute risk of dying from this condition was only 3%, then you likely would not consider it. In this case the drug would only reduce your Absolute Risk by 1.5%. With Covid, the vaccines only reduced the Absolute Risk of infection by less than 1%. Again, deceptive marketing versus full transparency.

The PCR test was not invented as a diagnostic test, and many experts pointed out that there were numerous false positives created because the test could not distinguish whether you had a live virus or previous coronaviruses such as the flu or a cold. Also, the test was being run at too high a cycle rate, creating more false positives. In the summer of 2021 the CDC finally indicated, after basing a whole pandemic on this inaccurate test, that they were pulling it by the end of 2021 as the test *could not determine the difference between Covid and the flu* (but before it was discontinued, they instructed that vaccinated people be tested at a lower cycle rate versus unvaccinated, thus ensuring fewer false positives in the vaccinated group). It is interesting to note that in some U.S. counties during the 2020 to 2021 flu season, there were *zero* flu cases reported. And we know why as they were false positives for Covid. It is also interesting to note that the total worldwide death rate for 2020, the year when Covid was at its strongest, was very similar to the total death rate for 2019 when Covid was not yet on the scene.

In the late summer of 2021, the American Medical Association (AMA) released a document to their members which included “Covid-19 Language Swaps” to counter “the rampant disinformation” that is “eroding public confidence in science and undermining trust in physicians and medical institutions.” Physicians were instructed in such language swaps as changing “hospitalization rates to deaths,” “Covid-19 to pandemic,” and “science/medicine/data based to fact based.” Along with teaching them interview techniques and how to handle social media, physicians were instructed to block, deflect, and redirect questions about vaccine injuries and deaths.

The AMA was trying to dispel valid concerns around the vaccine, but it was also trying to stem a rising tide of public distrust of the medical community. With large numbers of vaccine adverse events, breakthrough cases, and more, this distrust was for good reason. Physicians are highly intelligent people, but even with their educational conditioning, they know something is very wrong. How many were courageous enough to stand up and say to patients “I took the vaccine and told you to take the vaccine based on the data I was seeing, but I was being given false data and new data is showing the danger of the vaccine. So I don’t recommend you take the booster.” This is a really novel concept called *taking responsibility for your actions*. Unfortunately, many people can’t do that, especially if they have made money or reputation their god versus their integrity.

Also around the summer of 2021, the White House stated that this was a pandemic of the unvaccinated and that 95-97% of the hospital admissions in the U.S. were this group. Yet, this was not current statistics. This percentage was tallied using admissions from January through June when a large majority of people, especially in the early part of 2021, were unvaccinated (and further you are considered unvaccinated until you have two doses). Despite the fact that

the vaccinated could spread Covid as easily as the unvaccinated, and despite the Oxford study showing the vaccinated were carrying a much higher viral load, we were repeatedly told that the unvaccinated were keeping the pandemic alive and preventing us from going back to our normal lives. “Propaganda must facilitate the displacement of aggression by specifying the targets for hatred.” – Joseph Goebbels. It is only through fear and divide and conquer strategies that we can be controlled and obedient.

All prescription drugs and vaccines must have an insert listing contraindications, warnings and precautions, adverse reactions, etc. The intent is for the patient to read the insert and ask any questions or state concerns before they take the drug or the vaccine. The Covid vaccines have blank inserts. It says “intentionally left blank.” Of course, the Covid vaccine manufacturers say that you can access the most up to date information online. How many will actually do this?

In late summer 2021 Rolling Stone magazine ran a hit piece on ivermectin using a quote from a physician at an Oklahoma hospital that the hospital was overrun with people with bad side effects from taking horse dewormer and showing a repurposed photo of people waiting outside the hospital who supposedly were gunshot victims and could not get in due to lack of beds from the ivermectin “overdose” patients (you literally cannot make this stuff up). The problem is that all of the liberal mainstream media ran with it, without doing any fact checking – of course they did not care to fact check because they had another agenda. The hospital posted on the front page of their website that they had not seen even one patient for ivermectin side effects and that the doctor who provided the testimonial had not done any procedures in the hospital in a number of months. But of course the retractions in the media were either buried or not really retractions.

Also in late summer 2021 we were told by all of the mainstream media that the Pfizer vaccine was fully approved. Yet, was it actually? The FDA approved “Comirnaty,” also manufactured by Pfizer and declared to be *substantially similar* and allowed to be used interchangeably with the current Pfizer vaccine that is under the EAU. At the same time, the FDA granted an extension of the EAU for the current vaccine. And yet somehow, this new Comirnaty vaccine does not have sufficient levels of stock to be used yet. Can the reader theorize why? Adult vaccines not under EAU *are not protected from lawsuits*. Thus this type of bait and switch tactic by the FDA, which is *supposed* to be an agency which protects the public, allowed for the false narrative that the vaccine was approved. And the military, many states, corporations, etc could now falsely mandate that their employees be required to take it, which they could not previously mandate (under the Nuremburg code) since they were experimental.

The CDC changed its guidelines several times on what was to be reported as Covid. At one point, anyone who tested positive for Covid was to be reported as a Covid death even if they died of a car accident (a number of coroners spoke up about this). In the summer of 2021, as large numbers of severe breakthrough cases became evident, the CDC instructed hospitals and other institutions such as university health departments that for those with Covid symptoms, they should *only test those who were unvaccinated*. Obviously, this was an attempt to limit knowledge of the breakthrough cases and make it appear it was an epidemic of the unvaccinated.

In 2017 Moderna’s top doctor, Tal Zaks, did a TED talk where he proudly states that their mRNA shots are “rewriting the genetic code of humanity.” The Moderna patents on their technology and their SEC filings were filed as gene therapy, not as vaccines - of course for Covid they could not be described as experimental gene therapy or no one would have taken them and it would have taken away the liability exemption from lawsuits.

And other patent history is very telling. The National Institutes of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), headed by Dr. Fauci, identified coronavirus as a possible vaccine vector, and in 1999 funded research to create “an infectious replication-defective recombinant coronavirus.” In 2002, Ralph Baric, Ph.D. and colleagues at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill who received the NIAID funding to do the gain of function research, filed a patent on recombinant coronavirus, and then “coincidentally” within a year, we got the world’s very first coronavirus outbreak with SARS. Since 1999, over 4000 patents have been filed involving coronaviruses, including some detailing key aspects of the “novel” SARS-CoV-2 virus and also including many vaccine “solutions.” As it turns out, the SARS-CoV-2 and its proposed solutions are not so “novel” after all.

What else are we not being told? What else is being hidden from us (or intentionally left blank)? What data is being manipulated or defined in a way that gives a certain impression? It’s not like this hasn’t happened many, many times in history regarding everything from governing authorities lying about wars or secret experiments to pharmaceutical companies introducing drugs or vaccines that caused grievous harm, to other corporations with products and practices that individually and collectively harm us, harm our earth, harm our connection to spirit.

These cycles of corporations feeding off us do not benefit us. Most of the pharmaceutical companies making the vaccines have a horrible record of producing products that have caused many deaths and significant harm, such as heavily contributing to the opioid crisis. They have been convicted of unethical and criminal behavior, deceptive marketing, and even bribery, and they have paid out significant dollars in penalties and settlements. But the behavior continues because they make far more off the sales of these products than the penalties they know they will have to pay.

And the government agencies responsible for oversight of these industries and for approval of new products or taking products off the market which have caused harm (FDA for pharma, FCC for telecommunications, USDA for agriculture) have becoming revolving doors for industry insiders to govern their own products - the fox guarding the hen house. With the vaccines billions of dollars of taxpayer money were used to “encourage” people to get the shot, not to mention other incentives such as free college education or just blatant money bribes through lotteries. I placed encourage in quotations because it was very obvious and detrimental psychological conditioning that was used.

Those of us who did not take the vaccine were deemed unpatriotic. What I really wanted to ask people who fell for this false flag patriotism was whether they would have still considered it patriotic to take an experimental gene therapy that had already caused many deaths and health consequences and had the potential to have significant long term health consequences for literally billions of people. Would they have considered it patriotic when many experts feared it would lower the person’s innate immune system and ability to fight off common coronaviruses, also making that person much more susceptible to infect others with mutated and far deadlier strains - the opposite of the very reason that many wanted to take it. I doubt many people would have taken the vaccine if they understood what was truly happening, but having the ability to get to that understanding requires a lot of personal introspection. Our inability to look at our fear, without fear, has been used against us since time began by those who want power and control. Albert Einstein said it best, “Blind belief in authority is the greatest enemy of truth.”

We have become pawns in a system of control and consumerism. We are seen as dollars not as individuals. Again, how many of these products and services actually benefit humanity? When

will we reach a point where things are done for the right reasons, not because they put on a good front or solely to make money? When will our leaders, whether that be our governing authorities or CEOs, step up and actually be leaders, not rulers? Their vision is so shortsighted. Do they not have children and grandchildren that have to live in this world?

We have created economic, political, and financial systems which reflect a belief we are separate, not one humanity. These systems benefit those who are most likely to trample on others to get what they want in some journey to false success. These are the sociopaths, psychopaths, and narcissists. These are usually the people who make it to the top, and then we look to them as idols and leaders we should listen to? This is highly distorted and we can see the results. People just say, "oh it's okay as it's just how capitalism works." No, it is not okay unless you want to destroy the world which is happening at a very rapid rate. It is important to understand that it is estimated that 10% of the population doesn't have the capacity to feel empathy. Genetically, they don't carry the genes that enable empathy, and this can be extremely dangerous for the world.

Since the dawn of agriculture, seeds have been stored for use the next year. This is how God set it up. This is the *cycle of life*, where nature blesses us and we bless it - a mutually beneficial relationship expressing the holiness of creation. We give life to the crop by planting it and tending it with gratitude, and it in turn blesses us with a harvest that *feeds* us. The cycle starts again as we store the seed for the next year. So along come certain corporations, especially Monsanto (now Bayer), that through free seed giveaways and deceptive marketing about benefits, trick many poor and smaller farmers into using their genetically engineered seed on which they hold multiple patents. They make the farmers agree to buy from them every year, of course at much higher prices, and there are other factors such as much higher needs for water and fertilizer while yields are not any better. When a farmer wises up and tries to move away from these seeds, Monsanto sues them for patent infringement when a few of the seeds from last year's crop resprout on the farmer's land. Monsanto has even sued many family farmers who never used their seeds but the adjoining farmer did and there were seeds that blew over. India has suffered an epidemic of small farmer suicides from this tragedy, and many other small family farmers across the world have had to sell their land.

How in the world did we get to a point that we as a species allow a corporation to do this practice and alter the divine relationship that God set up between humans and nature? That the above practices were not stopped before they were allowed to be put in action? That the Supreme Court allowed for the granting of patent rights on something (seeds) that belongs to all of humanity? This is pure insanity, and *we all hold responsibility for allowing this to happen*.

Klaus Schwab is the founder of The World Economic Forum which meets every year in Davos Switzerland and is a conference for billionaires. Schwab has written a book called "Covid -19: The Great Reset" where he advocates for transhumanism and the "fusion of our physical, digital, and biological identity" which he clarifies as implantable microchips. The World Economic Forum is widely distributing a video that says by 2030 "each of us will own nothing and we will be happy about it." Of course, this means that we have to rent it from somewhere, and this would be from those who have the assets, but our ability to do any financial transaction, to travel, etc will be based on our social credit score. If you are a disruptive person, you will quickly come back into line or suffer the consequences. China is already under this system, and it is not like it is a big secret anymore. Many worldwide leaders have openly referred to the need for everyone to get vaccinated to accelerate the "New World Order."

When we all participate in a cycle where we are both physically and energetically removed from something as critical as our food and we give our power away to corporations to literally *feed us*,

and then we give our power away to other corporations to literally *keep us alive* to compensate for the first deviation from natural order, and when we automatically trust that the health authorities are truly looking out for our best interests, why would we expect anything different than an end result of depression, death, and dis-ease? What happens when we continually look to corporations to feed us, to fulfill us, to make us happy, to take care of us in all ways? What happens when we blindly give our power away to governing authorities when the lines of separation between the those in power in the public and private sectors have been completely blurred? Follow the money.

Blackrock and Vanguard are the two largest investment firms in the world, dwarfing all others. They have *trillions* of assets, and Vanguard is the largest shareholder of Blackrock. Vanguard's shareholders are hidden for good reason as they are the wealthiest families in the world. They have a complete monopoly as these two companies own stock in all of the large corporations in the world *and* own stock in other investors who own stock in these large corporations. A Bloomberg report says that by the year 2028, Blackrock and Vanguard will have 28 trillion in assets and total control of all wealth. Bloomberg calls BlackRock "The fourth branch of government," since it is the only private corporation that works closely with the central banks. Numerous Blackrock employees served in both the Bush and Obama administrations. Why don't we hear about all of this? Because nine media conglomerates own 90% of the international media, and who do you suppose owns and controls the messaging from these nine media conglomerates? Would we ever expect a media outlet to be unbiased in its coverage when its ownership is intertwined with numerous corporations, especially Big Pharma which spends huge dollars on advertising?

What happens when those making the decisions or heavily influencing the decision makers are megalomaniacs who have appointed themselves as gods and think they know what is best for the rest of us, using science and technology in ways that only benefit them? What if profit and power and control, or worse, become their goals? This is why it is so important for you to keep your power and question everything.

A corporatocracy is a term used to refer to an economic, political, and judicial system that is controlled by corporations. We are already there. We are fast approaching a technocracy, which is defined as a system in which people with a lot of knowledge about science and technology control a society. Science and technology are not "good" or "bad." It is how they are used that is "good" or "bad." Science is only a tool. Is it used to benefit humanity or to benefit a small group of people?

We should realize that playing God may not have the best outcome. And this is exactly what these individuals are trying to do to feel safe and alleviate the big elephant in the room - their fear of death and fear of God. This is why they want to have control of everyone and everything. This is why they want to literally upload their consciousness into a computer so they can "live" forever. But this big elephant is also why so many of the masses are willing to be controlled and why messaging playing to this fear of death is so successful. When we have a fear of dying, we are willing to do anything - convince ourselves that someone or some authority has our best interests, even when it is plainly obvious this is not the case, thus giving our liberties, freedom, and power away - to not have to face this fear. We always need to come back to compassion. Can we have compassion on ourselves for our fears and for those trying to control us due to their fear? We can, but this does not mean we don't take action to stop what is occurring.

Who might we now say are actually the conspiracy theorists? Conspiracy theory just implies falsehoods. When it becomes very obvious what we thought is reality is not really what is going

on, everything turns and becomes an actual conspiracy to alter the truth, not some theory. Covid is very real, but what if it is the vehicle, a diversion “in the name of public health” that everyone would rally around, to hide a much larger agenda? The Universe has a way of exposing the truth, one way or another, because everything has to return to divine order.

I had a deep belly laugh when I heard this wise Jewish gentleman say that everyone likely thought Noah was a conspiracy theorist ... until it started to rain. Again, truth will always rise to the surface. We can choose to deny it, but we know what is true at the core of our being. There is nothing I have written in this article which couldn't have been found with a little bit of research outside of mainstream media. Fear cannot be healed by continuing to bury the truth.

This Jewish gentleman also accurately pointed out that only ten percent of the Jews had the psychological fortitude and resilience to take a leap of faith and leave Egypt for the Promised Land. Are you one of the ten percent who is willing to leave slavery for redemption, to trust in the unknown, to get on the Ark, or do you want to stay in your fear and like the frog in the pot, slowly boil alive?

Hopefully, this article has brought some revelations, many of which are covered in much greater depth in *A Book On Fear*. First, we must “know thyself.” When we know ourselves, we will be in touch with deep intuition and knowledge and we will know what is right for us and what is not. It will also be impossible to deceive us and logic will be self-evident, working hand in hand with our deep knowing. Sometimes something is so simple that it is right in front of our face if we just use a little logic and common sense - as long we are open to viewing an issue from all angles. For instance, spike proteins have spikes. When we have trillions of these produced by our body and floating around, it seems pretty obvious that there is going to be some type of damage like microtears in our cell walls and blood vessels (thus the source of all the blood clots and subsequent strokes and heart attacks). As mentioned earlier, it is the same logic with breathing in our own exhale for extended periods. It doesn't really matter if there are studies saying otherwise.

Logic would make you question why children have been coming down with the virus in the summer and fall of 2021 (assuming this was not another respiratory illness like RSV or pneumonia as the CDC had decided to pull the PCR test precisely because it could not distinguish between Covid, the flu, etc.) In 2020 there were 330 deaths reported of children in the US from Covid. All but one had significant comorbidities like Down's Syndrome. *Statistically, the chance of a healthy child dying from Covid was zero.* Has anyone ever heard of children under twelve coming down with the flu? Yet, we masked all of these children? Is it not possible that being masked and isolated for over a year altered the normal evolution of how kids build an immune system? Is it not possible that many respiratory illnesses were cultured because *they literally could not breathe fresh air for long periods?* Is it not possible that these kids were in prolonged, close contact with parents who had taken the vaccine, creating unique variants in the parents (confirmed by the huge number of breakthrough cases)? It is likely that most adults who took the vaccine were in a lot of fear and had their children more masked and isolated than the general public. Is it not possible that inducing fear in children would lower immune function? I am not saying that any of these possibilities are definitively true, but logic would say that any of these could *potentially* be true. Unfortunately, a majority of people can't operate from a place of logic as they are operating from their reptilian brain and a fear of survival. Again, media messaging played exactly to this fear.

Secondly and closely tied to the fear of knowing ourselves, it cannot be stated enough times that when we give our power away and we look outside of ourselves for someone or some thing to

save us, we are doing this because we are in fear and this will only engender more fear (thus any type of information that runs counter to our belief system cannot be accepted because we accurately sense it will lead to the whole foundation crumbling). I know many people who said they took the vaccine because they talked to doctors, immunologists, and virologists that they trusted.

But what would we expect those who have been conditioned by the conventional medicine model to say? Basically, they would have to admit that a large part of what they believe is false. This was possible, but not likely. Many brave doctors and other experts did speak out, but it was not likely that a majority would do this because they had their fear and dysfunction like everyone else. Do not make anyone or anything your god, which is what people did when they abrogated their authority. We must make our decisions only from our inner authority and knowing, which comes by knowing ourselves.

When we are in fear, things can seem out of our control and hopeless. Yet with faith (the third important point to be reinforced), we don't need hope. We *know* that God has it, that even when we can't see the sun, we have faith that it is behind the clouds. We can't know what God's plan is, but we can know that He will take care of those who look to and surrender their will to Him. And in times of great uncertainty and change like we are in now, this is our life preserver.

Copyright 2021 Lawrence Doochin

May be republished in part or in full without permission from the author but with full attribution to Lawrence Doochin

Lawrence Doochin is the author of *A Book On Fear: Feeling Safe In A Challenging World*
Please visit www.abookonfear.com for more information